# Impact Analysis Report / RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0106** (RTC-52402) |
| **Related Incident ID** | IM428720 |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | TAXUD/B3 |
| **CI** | NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 - Main Document) |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): Clarification of Check Guarantee Integrity (GUI)** |
| The DDNTA Main Document needs to be updated to better specify that the Guarantee Integrity Check (the exchange of the **IE200/IE201** messages) should be performed between the Office of Departure and the Office of Guarantee **after** the acceptance of the transit declaration but **before** release for transit. |

**Section 2: Problem statement**

|  |
| --- |
| The following paragraphs of the DDNTA Main Document-5.1.4.0-v1.00 mention:  As part of the services required by the Customs Officers at Departure and Destination, together with the Holder of the Transit Procedure, a functionality is required to check the validity of guarantees, both locally and internationally.  The Holder of the Transit Procedure sends the ‘Declaration Data’ E\_DEC\_DAT (IE015) to the Office of Departure. Upon receipt of the ‘Declaration Data’ and before accepting the transit declaration and allocating the MRN, the Office of Departure performs the necessary validation on the ‘Declaration Data’.  The above paragraphs need to be improved to mention that **the IE200/IE201 message exchange** between the Office of Departure and the Office of Guarantee should be **possible after the acceptance of the transit declaration** and **before release for transit**. Additionally, the guarantee integrity check should be **only** performed by the **Office of Departure where the transit movement was created**. |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The following update will be performed into the **DDNTA Main-5.14.1-v1.00** **(Document)** (changes are depicted in red colour):   1. **The following paragraph in section “III.III.2.1 Check Guarantee Integrity (GUI)” will be updated as follows:**   ~~As part of the services required by the Customs Officers at Departure and Destination, together with the Holder of the Transit Procedure, a functionality is required to check the validity of guarantees, both locally and internationally.~~  Both the Customs Officer at Departure and the Holder of the Transit Procedure need a functionality to check the validity of guarantees, both nationally and internationally.  The Holder of the Transit Procedure sends the ‘Declaration Data’ E\_DEC\_DAT (IE015) to the Office of Departure. Upon receipt of the ‘Declaration Data’ and ~~before~~after accepting the transit declaration and allocating the MRN, and up until the moment when the transit movement is released for transit, the Office of Departure ~~performs the necessary validation on the ‘Declaration Data’~~is entitled to perform a guarantee integrity check. Hence, the Office of Departure sends the ‘Guarantee Check’ C\_GUA\_CHE (IE200) message to the Office of Guarantee, which replies back the guarantee integrity result with the ‘Guarantee Check Result’ C\_GUA\_RES (IE201) message.  **IMPACT ASSESSMENT**  **No impact on External Domain.**  This RFC-Proposal is considered as a **purely documentary improvement** of the DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document) and assumed to have no implementation impact for the NAs.  **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (T-Ops): N/A  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (T-CT): N/A  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (T-CAB): January 2022  **Impact on transition P4-P5**: None  **Consequence of not approving the RFC-Proposal**: Possible confusion for Business Analysts / National Helpdesk.  **Risk of not implementing the change**: None.  Impacted IEs:   * None   Impacted CI Artefacts:   * **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): Yes;** * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No; * Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM)-v5.30: No; * DDCOM-20.3.0-v1.00: No; * DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix Q2\_R\_C, PDFs): No; * CSE-v51.6.0: No; * DMP Package-5.6.0 SfA-v1.00: No (incl. update of file Rules and Conditions\_v0.43): No; * CTS-5.6.1-v1.00: No; * ACS - v5.5.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.5.0: No; * NCTS\_CTP-5.7.0-v1.00: No; * NCTS\_TRP-5.7.5: No; * ieCA 1.0.1.0: No; * CRP-5.5.0-v1.00: No; * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No; * CS/RD2\_DATA: No; * AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No. |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00, Main Document** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **As described in Section 3 - Proposed Solution** | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Likely no impact** (maybe an update of the translated DDNTA Main Document?)**.** | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | | |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by CUSTDEV | 24/11/2021 |  |
| v0.11 | SfR to NPMs | 26/11/2021 |  |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 25/02/2022 | *SfA to NPM* |